

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 11/03/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/079,928	02/19/2002	Martin D. Richek	3434-P02437US1	6502	
110	7590 11/03/2006		EXAMINER		
•	RFMAN, HERRELL &	RAMPURIA, SATISH			
1601 MARKET STREET SUITE 2400 PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103-2307			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2191		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	_	
10/079,928	RICHEK, MARTIN D.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Satish S. Rampuria	2191		

	Satish S. Rampuria	2191				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>11 August 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AI	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	ice, which FR 41.31; or (3)			
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7.	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 06.07(f).	g date of the final reject E FIRST REPLY WAS F	on. ILED WITHIN			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as			
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on 11 August 2006. A brid the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any repl AMENDMENTS 	or any extension thereof (37 CFR 4	11.37(e)), to avoid dis	missal of the			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief,	will not be entered b	ecause			
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	w);					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bef appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.				
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)						
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 						
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		II be entered and an e	explanation of			
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>3-10,12,13,15-23,25-28 and 30-35</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	it before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affida	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary and			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attacl	ned.			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu			nce because:			
2. ▼ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). <u>D9</u> 16/02						
13. 🔲 Other:	111					
	MM M,					
	Mel Y.	2HRN				
	Mina	1 Franin	·			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Application No. 10/079,928

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The request for reconsideration has been considered but does not place the application in condition for allowance because the reference Oliver still reads over the amended claims. The amended claims could read over the FIG. 1C, 2C, 4C and 5D and related discussion. As agreed during the interview (July 27, 2006) that if claims 13, 23 and 35 is amended as claim 3 the amendment will entered. Accordingly, applicant has amended claims 13, 23 and 35 as claim 3 this amendment will be entered. Further, applicant requesting the copy of IDS filed on September 16, 2002. Accordingly, the copy of IDS is attached herewith.